

# Body temperatures of three species of *Phrynosoma* from Puebla, Mexico

Guillermo A. Woolrich-Piña<sup>1,2</sup>, Geoffrey R. Smith<sup>3,4</sup>, and Julio A. Lemos-Espinal<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract.** We report on the temperature relationships of three Mexican species of *Phrynosoma* (*P. braconneri*, *P. orbiculare*, and *P. taurus*). Mean body temperature ( $T_b$ ) was 32.72°C for *P. braconneri*, 32.54°C for *P. orbiculare*, and 33.39°C for *P. taurus*. In *P. braconneri* and *P. taurus*,  $T_b$  was not correlated with air or substrate temperatures, whereas in *P. orbiculare*  $T_b$  was positively correlated with them. Body size did not affect  $T_b$  in any of the species. Females had higher  $T_b$ s than males in *P. orbiculare*, males had higher  $T_b$ s than females in *P. braconneri*, and males and females had similar  $T_b$ s in *P. taurus*. Most of the *Phrynosoma* observed in our study were found in sunny microhabitats, although several were found in shaded microhabitats.

**Keywords.** *Phrynosoma braconneri*, *Phrynosoma orbiculare*, *Phrynosoma taurus*, thermal ecology.

## Introduction

Temperature can play an important role in the ecology and biology of reptiles. In *Phrynosoma* lizards, temperature can influence physiological performance (e.g., Prieto and Whitford, 1971). *Phrynosoma* lizards adopt a variety of thermoregulatory mechanisms, such as shuttling between sun and shade, to maintain  $T_b$ s within a certain range (Heath, 1965, 1970). Despite several reports on the body temperatures and temperature relationships of *Phrynosoma* (Table 1), we lack information on several species, especially those from Mexico. Herein, we report on observations on three species of *Phrynosoma* (*P. braconneri* Duméril & Bocourt 1870, *P. orbiculare* (Gmelin 1789) and *P. taurus* Dugès 1873) from Mexico, two of which have not had information on their thermal ecology published before (*P. braconneri* and *P. taurus*).

## Materials and Methods

We conducted this study in Alchichica (19°24'26"N, 97°23'58"W, 2300 m elevation) and Zapotitlán Salinas Valley (18°34' N, 97°29' W, between 1530 and 2400 m elevation) in Puebla, Mexico. Mean annual temperature and precipitation are 19°C, 21°C and 420 mm, 400 mm, respectively. In Alchichica, plant species include

*Nolina parviflora*, *Yucca periculosa*, *Agave obscura*, *Hechtia roseana*, *Nolina parviflora*, *Dasyliirion acrotriche*, *Distichlis spicata*, *Bouteloua hirsuta*, *Suaeda nigra*, *Opuntia robusta*, and *Mammillaria discolor*. In Zapotitlán Salinas Valley, vegetation includes *Nebouxbania tetetzo*, *Prosopis laevigata*, *Beucarnea gracilis*, *Myrtillocactus geometrizans*, *Echinocactus viznaga*, and *Holocantha stewartii* (Rzedowski, 2006).

We captured lizards by hand. Once captured, we recorded sex, snout vent length (SVL, to nearest 1 mm), body mass (to nearest 0.2 g, using a spring balance), hour of capture, body ( $T_b$ ; cloacal temperature, to nearest 0.2°C), air ( $T_a$ ; bulb in the shade, 3.0 cm over the substrate occupied by the lizard, to nearest 0.2°C), and substrate temperature ( $T_s$ ; bulb to the shade on the substratum occupied by the small lizard, to nearest 0.2°C) using a quick-reading thermometer (Shultetheis, Miller and Weber Inc., interval 0-50°C, 0.2 precision). We also recorded each lizard's position with regard to solar insolation as being completely exposed to sun, in shade, or a sun/shade mosaic. Lizards that needed a major effort to capture (> 1 min.) were excluded from temperature records. We used only one observation for each lizard.

## Results

### *P. braconneri*

Mean  $T_b$  was 32.72 ± 0.16 °C (N = 27). Mean  $T_a$  was 24.50 ± 0.22 °C (N = 27). Mean  $T_s$  was 25.70 ± 0.28 °C (N = 27). Body temperature was not significantly affected by  $T_a$  (N = 27,  $r^2 = 0.09$ , P = 0.13). Body temperature was also not affected by  $T_s$  (N = 27,  $r^2 = 0.002$ , P = 0.83). Body temperature was not affected by SVL (N = 27,  $r^2 = 0.04$ , P = 0.31). Males had a higher mean  $T_b$  than females (Table 2;  $F_{1,25} = 14.49$ , P = 0.0008). However, males and females had similar mean  $T_a$ s (Table 2;  $F_{1,25} = 0.57$ , P = 0.46). Mean  $T_s$  also did not differ between males and females (Table 2;  $F_{1,25} = 0.09$ , P = 0.76). Lizards were more frequently encountered in sunny microhabitats

1 Laboratorio de Paleontología y Geobiología, ESIA Ticomán "Ciencias de la Tierra", IPN. Av. Ticomán # 600, Col. San José Ticomán, Del. Gustavo A. Madero, México D. F. C. P. 07340

2 Laboratorio de Ecología. UBIPRO, FES Iztacala UNAM. Av. De los Barrios # 1, Col. Los Reyes Iztacala, Tlalnepantla Estado de México, México. C. P. 54090

3 Department of Biology, Denison University, Granville, Ohio 43023 USA.

4 Corresponding author; e-mail: smithg@denison.edu

**Table 1.** Published reports of mean body temperatures ( $T_b$ ) of *Phrynosoma* lizards.

Species	Mean $T_b$ (°C)	Source
<i>P. asio</i>	32.4	Lemos-Espinal <i>et al.</i> (1997)
<i>P. blainvilli</i>	34.9	Cowles and Bogert (1944)
<i>P. cornutum</i>	35.7	Brattstrom (1965)
<i>P. coronatum</i>	34.2 – 34.9	Brattstrom (1965); Cunningham (1966)
<i>P. douglassi</i>	32.1	Powell and Russell (1985)
<i>P. m'callii</i>	36.9 – 37.4	Cowles and Bogert (1944); Brattstrom (1965)
<i>P. modestum</i>	20.9	Lemos-Espinal <i>et al.</i> (1997)
<i>P. orbiculare</i>	37.9	Lemos-Espinal <i>et al.</i> (1997)
<i>P. platyrhinos</i>	34.4 – 36.8	Cowles and Bogert (1944); Brattstrom (1965); Pianka and Pianka (1970); Pianka and Parker (1975)

(18; 66.7%) compared to shaded microhabitats (9; 33%). Lizards in sunny microhabitats had higher mean  $T_b$ s than those in shaded microhabitats (Table 3;  $F_{1,25} = 12.93$ ,  $P = 0.0014$ ). However, microhabitat did not affect  $T_a$  (Table 3;  $F_{1,25} = 0.09$ ,  $P = 0.76$ ), or  $T_s$  (Table 3;  $F_{1,25} = 0.02$ ,  $P = 0.88$ ).

#### *P. orbiculare*

Mean  $T_b$  was  $32.54 \pm 0.23$  °C ( $N = 47$ ). Mean  $T_a$  was  $24.96 \pm 0.32$  °C ( $N = 47$ ). Mean  $T_s$  was  $27.16 \pm 0.40$  °C ( $N = 47$ ). Body temperature increased with  $T_a$  ( $N = 47$ ,  $r^2 = 0.19$ ,  $P = 0.0024$ ;  $T_b = 24.87 + 0.31T_a$ ). Body temperature also increased with  $T_s$  ( $N = 47$ ,  $r^2 = 0.23$ ,  $P = 0.0007$ ;  $T_b = 25.21 + 0.27T_s$ ). Body temperature was not affected by SVL ( $N = 47$ ,  $r^2 = 0.01$ ,  $P = 0.45$ ). Females had higher mean  $T_b$ s than males (Table 2;  $F_{1,45} = 4.60$ ,  $P = 0.038$ ). Mean  $T_a$  did not differ between males and females (Table 2;  $F_{1,45} = 0.36$ ,  $P = 0.55$ ). Substrate temperature also did not differ between males and females (Table 2;  $F_{1,45} = 0.20$ ,  $P = 0.66$ ). Sunny (25; 53.2%) and shaded (20; 42.1%) microhabitats were the most used, with only 2 (4.2%) lizards using sun/shade mosaic microhabitats. Microhabitat did not affect  $T_b$  (Table 3;  $F_{2,44} = 0.42$ ,  $P = 0.66$ ),  $T_a$  (Table 3;  $F_{2,44} = 2.29$ ,  $P = 0.11$ ). However, mean  $T_s$  was significantly affected by microhabitat, with sunny microhabitats being the warmest (Table 3;  $F_{2,44} = 5.37$ ,  $P = 0.0082$ ).

*P. taurus*. Mean  $T_b$  was  $33.39 \pm 0.20$  °C ( $N = 39$ ). Mean

$T_a$  was  $26.19 \pm 0.55$  °C ( $N = 39$ ). Mean  $T_s$  was  $28.06 \pm 0.42$  °C ( $N = 39$ ). Body temperature was not affected by  $T_a$  ( $N = 39$ ,  $r^2 = 0.037$ ,  $P = 0.24$ ). Body temperature was not affected by  $T_s$  ( $N = 39$ ,  $r^2 = 0.07$ ,  $P = 0.10$ ). Body temperature tended to decrease with SVL, but the relationship only approached statistical significance ( $N = 39$ ,  $r^2 = 0.09$ ,  $P = 0.064$ ;  $T_b = 37.63 - 0.06SVL$ ). Mean  $T_b$  did not differ between males and females (Table 2;  $F_{1,37} = 0.64$ ,  $P = 0.43$ ). Mean  $T_a$ s also did not differ between males and females (Table 2;  $F_{1,37} = 0.24$ ,  $P = 0.63$ ), nor did mean  $T_s$ s (Table 2;  $F_{1,37} = 0.31$ ,  $P = 0.58$ ). More lizards were observed in sunny microhabitats (19; 48.7%), followed by shaded microhabitats (13; 33.3%). Fewer lizards were observed in shaded microhabitats (7; 17.9%). Lizards in sunny microhabitats had a lower mean  $T_b$  than those in shaded or mosaic microhabitats (Table 3;  $F_{2,36} = 3.50$ ,  $P = 0.04$ ). However, mean  $T_a$  (Table 3;  $F_{2,36} = 0.08$ ,  $P = 0.93$ ) and  $T_s$  (Table 3;  $F_{2,36} = 0.07$ ,  $P = 0.94$ ) did not differ between microhabitats.

#### Discussion

The mean  $T_b$ s of the three species of *Phrynosoma* we studied (*P. braconneri*, *P. orbiculare*, *P. taurus*) are fairly similar to each other (all within 1°C). The mean  $T_b$ s of the three species are also well within the range of  $T_b$ s observed in other species of *Phrynosoma* (see Table 1). In *P. braconneri* and *P. taurus*,  $T_b$  was not correlated with  $T_a$  or  $T_s$ , whereas in *P. orbiculare*

**Table 2.** Mean body temperature ( $T_b$ ), air temperature ( $T_a$ ), and substrate temperature ( $T_s$ ) of males and females of three species of *Phrynosoma*. Means are given  $\pm 1$  SE.

	$T_b$ (°C)	$T_a$ (°C)	$T_s$ (°C)
<i>P. braconneri</i>			
Male (N = 12)	33.27 $\pm$ 0.23 °C	24.68 $\pm$ 0.36 °C	25.6 $\pm$ 0.46 °C
Female (N = 15)	32.29 $\pm$ 0.13 °C	24.35 $\pm$ 0.27 °C	25.77 $\pm$ 0.36 °C
<i>P. orbiculare</i>			
Male (N = 23)	32.06 $\pm$ 0.21 °C	24.76 $\pm$ 0.37 °C	26.97 $\pm$ 0.45 °C
Female (N = 24)	33.00 $\pm$ 0.38 °C	25.15 $\pm$ 0.52 °C	27.33 $\pm$ 0.66 °C
<i>P. taurus</i>			
Male (N = 16)	33.20 $\pm$ 0.33 °C	25.86 $\pm$ 0.87 °C	27.78 $\pm$ 0.75 °C
Female (N = 23)	33.53 $\pm$ 0.25 °C	26.42 $\pm$ 0.72 °C	28.26 $\pm$ 0.51 °C

**Table 3.** Mean body temperature ( $T_b$ ), air temperature ( $T_a$ ), and substrate temperature ( $T_s$ ) of three species of *Phrynosoma* found in sunny and shaded microhabitats. Means are given  $\pm 1$  SE.

	$T_b$ (°C)	$T_a$ (°C)	$T_s$ (°C)
<i>P. braconneri</i>			
Sunny (N = 18)	33.06 $\pm$ 0.17 °C	25.54 $\pm$ 0.29 °C	25.67 $\pm$ 0.36 °C
Shaded (N = 9)	32.07 $\pm$ 0.18 °C	24.4 $\pm$ 0.33 °C	25.76 $\pm$ 0.48 °C
<i>P. orbiculare</i>			
Sunny (N = 25)	32.34 $\pm$ 0.36 °C	25.58 $\pm$ 0.51 °C	28.29 $\pm$ 0.51 °C
Sun/Shade Mosaic (N = 2)	32.90 $\pm$ 0.30 °C	24.0 $\pm$ 1.0 °C	25.6 $\pm$ 0.20 °C
Shaded (N = 20)	32.75 $\pm$ 0.29 °C	24.28 $\pm$ 0.34 °C	25.9 $\pm$ 0.56 °C
<i>P. taurus</i>			
Sunny (N = 19)	32.88 $\pm$ 0.26 °C	26.20 $\pm$ 0.79 °C	27.99 $\pm$ 0.65 °C
Sun/Shade Mosaic (N = 7)	33.77 $\pm$ 0.56 °C	26.60 $\pm$ 1.30 °C	28.40 $\pm$ 0.91 °C
Shaded (N = 13)	33.94 $\pm$ 0.31 °C	25.95 $\pm$ 1.01 °C	27.98 $\pm$ 0.75 °C

$T_b$  was positively correlated with  $T_a$  and  $T_s$ . Studies on *P. asio*, *P. douglassi brevirostre*, and *P. platyrhinos* found a positive correlation between  $T_b$  and both  $T_a$  and  $T_s$  (Pianka and Parker, 1975; Powell and Russell, 1985; Lemos-Espinal, Smith and Ballinger, 1997). Our results suggest that more variation in the effects of environmental temperatures on  $T_b$  exists among species of *Phrynosoma* than previously understood.

Body size did not significantly affect  $T_b$  in any of the three species of *Phrynosoma* we studied. Body temperature of *P. asio* was not affected by body size (Lemos-Espinal, Smith and Ballinger, 1997). These results suggest that body size does not play an important role in thermoregulation in *Phrynosoma*, at least over the range of body sizes studied. Additional studies examining the thermal ecology of hatchlings or juveniles are needed however.

The effects of sex on mean  $T_b$  differed among the three species. Females had higher  $T_b$ s than males in *P. orbiculare*, males had higher  $T_b$ s than females in *P. braconnieri*, and males and females had similar  $T_b$ s in *P. taurus*. Interestingly, in all three species, mean  $T_a$  and  $T_s$  did not differ between males and females. Male *P. asio* had higher mean  $T_b$  than females (Lemos-Espinal, Smith and Ballinger, 1997). Our results, and those for *P. asio*, suggest that the temperature relationships of males and females can differ and that these relationships can also vary among species. At this time, we can present no explanation for this variation, but these results suggest that more study of sexual differences in thermal ecology in *Phrynosoma* are warranted.

Most of the *Phrynosoma* observed in our study were found in sunny microhabitats, although several were found in shaded microhabitats. *Phrynosoma douglassi brevirostre* were most often found in open microhabitats and rarely in shaded or mosaic microhabitats (Powell and Russell, 1985). Differences in the use of sunny and shaded microhabitats may reflect thermoregulatory behaviour, such as shuttling between these microhabitats to maintain  $T_b$  (e.g., Heath, 1965, 1970).

**Acknowledgments.** This study was supported by the projects: PAPCA 2003, 2007, and 2011.

## References

- Brattstrom, B.H. (1965): Body temperatures of reptiles. *American Midland Naturalist* **73**: 376-422.
- Cowles, R.B., Bogert, C.M. (1944): A preliminary study of the thermal requirements of desert reptiles. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* **83**: 261-296.
- Cunningham, J.D. (1966): Additional observations on the body temperatures of reptiles. *Herpetologica* **22**: 184-189.
- Heath, J.E. (1965): Temperature regulation and diurnal activity in horned lizards. *University of California Publications in Zoology* **64**: 97-136.
- Heath, J.E. (1970): Behavioral regulation of body temperature in poikilotherms. *The Physiologist* **13**: 399-410.
- Lemos-Espinal, J.A., Smith, G.R., Ballinger, R.E. (1997): Observations on the body temperatures and natural history of some Mexican reptiles. *Bulletin of the Maryland Herpetological Society* **33**: 159-164.
- Pianka, E.R., Parker, W.S. (1975): Ecology of horned lizards: A review with special reference to *Phrynosoma platyrhinos*. *Copeia* **1975**: 141-162.
- Pianka, E.R., Pianka, H.D. (1970): The ecology of *Moloch horridus* (Lacertilia: Agamidae) in Western Australia. *Copeia* **1970**: 90-103.
- Powell, G.L., Russell, A.P. (1985): Field thermal ecology of the eastern short-horned lizard (*Phrynosoma douglassi brevirostre*) in southeastern Alberta. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **63**: 228-238.
- Prieto, A.A. Jr., Whitford, W.G. (1971): Physiological responses to temperature in the horned lizards, *Phrynosoma cornutum* and *Phrynosoma douglassi*. *Copeia* **1971**: 498-504.
- Rzedowski, J. (2006): La vegetación de México. 1ra. Edición digital. México, CONABIO.